

Creative Commons Aotearoa New Zealand  
Royal Society of New Zealand

**Minutes of the Meeting of the Advisory Panel**  
Monday 24 February 2013

Royal Society of New Zealand, Wellington

**14:00 – 16:00**

**Present**

Fabiana Kubke (Chair), Keitha Booth, Wayne Mackintosh, Anne Fitzgerald (via teleconference), Penny Carnaby (via teleconference), Melanie Johnson (via teleconference), Courtney Johnston, Matt McGregor (Public Lead, minutes).

**Apologies**

Apologies from Andrew Matangi, Karaitiana Taiuru, Cathy Aronson, Richard Best.

**1. MINUTES**

**1.1 Minutes**

Minutes from September and December were approved, with minor changes.

**2. REPORTS, ANNOUNCEMENTS AND UPDATES**

**2.1 Reports**

Fabiana and Matt spoke to the updates from the Chair and Lead, respectively.

**2.2 Announcements.**

Fabiana has been invited to speak at the New Zealand Association of Scientists Conference in Auckland, 4-5 April 2014.<sup>1</sup> The Panel agreed that Fabiana should represent CCANZ.

Penny has invited Mark Hamil of Figshare to run a virtual webinar for Lincoln staff. Figshare will be used by Lincoln as a data repository.

**2.3 Updates**

Keitha said that the latest NZGOAL guidance note on databases and datasets has been published.<sup>2</sup> The guidance note was peer reviewed by Andrew Matangi and Creative Commons HQ.

Wayne said that OCL4Ed has been a success.<sup>3</sup> Future events would continue to be advertised to New Zealand schools.

Anne noted that CC Australia's book would be finished soon. QUT is hosting the CCI in

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.scientists.org.nz/news/2014/02/nzas-2014-conference-auckland-4-5-april-science-and-society>

<sup>2</sup> <http://ict.govt.nz/guidance-and-resources/information-and-data/nzgoal/nzgoal-guidance-notes/nzgoal-guidance-note-4/>

<sup>3</sup> [http://wikieducator.org/Open\\_content\\_licensing\\_for\\_educators/Home](http://wikieducator.org/Open_content_licensing_for_educators/Home)

Retrospect and Prospect Symposium in Brisbane, 1-2 April, featuring Ian Hargreaves.<sup>4</sup> Anne also asked the panel about the use of open licensing from indigenous communities. Keitha suggested that Anne contact Andrew Matangi.

### **3 DECISIONS**

#### **3.1 Feedback on Project Structure and Web Design**

Matt spoke to proposed changes to the web design. The panel agreed that the web logo should be replaced by the standard CCANZ logo.

*Decision: Remove logo; continue web redesign process*

#### **3.2 Election of panel members**

Fabiana asked if the panel wished to participate in the process of selecting new panel members. Colin warned of the danger of producing a self-perpetuating panel that was not open to new members. He suggested that the panel's views be considered, but that the panel should not decide for itself. The panel agreed.

*Decision: The Public Lead and the Chair will manage the process of selecting new panel members, following public nominations.*

#### **3.3 Chair**

Fabiana noted that the suggestion of rotating chairs was floated following Penny's departure. Penny noted that rotation might not be feasible, as it can take some to adapt to the position. Wayne suggested that the period of the Chair needs to take into account the rotations of the panel members, and agreed with Penny that it should take longer than one year. Keitha suggested a two-year term. Colin suggested two years with an expectation of another two.

*Decision: The Chair should not rotate among panel members, and the Chair should remain for at least two years.*

Fabiana also discussed the need for a formal mechanism to provide the Chair with feedback. Colin said that the Chair should take silence as assent. Penny said that the informal, collegial approach of the Panel already works. Others agreed.

*Decision: There is no need for a formal feedback mechanism for the Chair.*

### **4. Discussion/Brainstorm**

#### **4.1 Review**

Fabiana asked if CCANZ needed a formal review from an external organisation. Colin asked if the purpose of the review would be to maximise funding or maximise effectiveness, and that the latter should continue to be our focus. Keitha asked if this was analogous to a mentoring role. Colin said, if so, would not another CC project be a more effective mentor? Wayne noted that other CC projects had very different structures than CCANZ. Penny pointed out that we have very good coverage, very good links with government, and appear to be covering all the right bases. Courtney pointed out that no one is expressing discomfort with how the project is proceeding, so there does not appear to be a problem to solve. She also pointed out that this would require resourcing. Also,

---

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.cci.edu.au/symposium14>

CCANZ is already a very reflective project. Keitha agreed, stating that CCANZ does not need to reflect on its operations and structure any more than it already has. Colin added that we already know of potential funding sources, and if we're already receiving money from these sources, we're doing well.

*Decision: No need for review.*

#### **4.2 Volunteer Building**

Matt spoke to the need to build on contributions from the community. These should initially take two forms: the Loomio page; and student volunteers. Wayne noted that the initial, minor contributions from the community on the Loomio page do not indicate it should be stopped. Keitha agreed, suggesting that CCANZ should be patient about the scale of voluntary commitments. Colin suggested that students could provide legal commentary on topical issues, such as the TPP. Keitha suggested that students couple commentary with research projects. Wayne advised that it would be prudent to brand the blog posts as community voices, and not voices from CCANZ. Courtney suggested that we provide a student award for top student post.

*3pm: Penny leaves.*

#### **4.3. Information and Community Hubs on Open Research and Open Access**

Matt introduced the idea of CCANZ acting as kaitiaki of new 'hubs' in open research and open GLAM. Wayne asked whether these would sit on the CCANZ domain. Matt thought that they should sit on their own domain, but was open to suggestions. Courtney noted that the GLAM sector would not necessarily provide many community contributions, but that the project would be useful.

#### **4.4 Cultural Funding**

Matt outlined his recent attendance of an NZ On Air meeting on Online Rights, and suggested that such meetings were unlikely to advance the aims of CCANZ. The Panel agreed that CCANZ would work more effectively at a policy, rather than advocacy, level. Anne noted the need for CCANZ to be careful about its advocacy efforts. The Panel suggested that CCANZ could add to debates, but did not need to be centre stage.

*3.30pm: Anne and Colin leave.*

#### **4.5. Funding Strategy**

Matt provided a brief update of CCANZ's funding status, and the panel discussed next steps.

*3.42pm: Keitha leaves.*

#### **4.6 Structure and Strategy**

Matt spoke to the structure and strategy document. Wayne noted that the document needed to act as a strategic filter for judging engagement. Courtney noted that the projects could be used to reinforce CCANZ's core work, and would be a useful way to explain what CCANZ's does to the community. Fabiana noted the need for a succession plan, as the current list of projects had been shaped by Matt. Courtney noted that the projects could be reshaped by future Leads. Wayne said that the projects would be useful hooks for those new to CCANZ.

### **5. OTHER ITEMS**

Fabiana noted the challenges of open data in universities. There is no initial intent from academics to release their data openly. The ARC is confronting this problem using a 'bottom up' approach to encourage more data sharing. Wayne agreed, noting that most universities apply 'c' to data even when it isn't.

**END**