

Creative Commons Aotearoa New Zealand

Minutes of the Meeting of the Advisory Panel

Friday 27 February 2015

Enspiral, Level 2, 89 Courtenay Place, Wellington

14:00–16:30

Present in Wellington

Fabiana Kubke (Chair), Keitha Booth, Matt McGregor (Public Lead, minutes), Cathy Aronson, Andrew Matangi, Karaitiana Taiuru, Victoria Leachman, Carolyn Stuart, Dave Lane.

Joining remotely:

Wayne Mackintosh.

1. INTRODUCING VICTORIA LEACHMAN

The panel welcomed Victoria Leachman, Rights Advisor at Te Papa.

2. MINUTES

The minutes from 1 December were approved, with minor changes.

3. REPORTS, ANNOUNCEMENTS AND UPDATES

3.1 From the Chair

The Chair's report was tabled. Fabiana noted that there had been no moves in an open access policy at the University of Auckland. Fabiana also noted that software carpentry instructors had been trained, and that NZ is planning eight workshops per year, led by the National e-Science Infrastructure (NESI). Fabiana noted that the CCANZ brand would be used on similar courses as part of her participation. Dave noted that NZOSS would be willing to assist with the promotion of education programmes of software carpentry.

3.2 From the Lead

The Lead's report was tabled. Matt noted that registrations for the CCANZ RoadTrip were steady, and had rapidly increased in the last two weeks. He also noted that NZCommons had adopted a new 'issues' format, and that the design of the site would be revisited in the middle of the year. Matt and Karaitiana both noted that the draft translation of the 4.0 licences had been positively received. Matt noted that the initial resources for the toolkits had been published online, and would be added to over the course of the year. Finally, Matt noted that both Billy Meinke (formerly of Creative Commons HQ) and Cable Green (Global Education Manager at CCHQ) were likely to visit New Zealand this year.

Andrew suggested that Matt should notify the Māori Language Commission of the translation, as well as Te Puni Kokiri. Keitha asked Matt to pass on more information about the Open Data meetings at Lincoln University.

Cathy asked about why there were two sites - creativecommons.org.nz and nzcommons.org.nz. Matt replied that creativecommons.org.nz is not structured for community discussion -- it is primarily used to discover static information about the Creative Commons licences. Cathy noted that there could be more explicit connections between the two sites, and Matt agreed, noting that a tweaks of NZCommons would be considered mid-2015. Dave suggested that the sites could share an RSS feed.

Carolyn arrived 2.30.

3.3 From the Panel

Keitha noted that version two of NZGOAL was ready for publication and would be released soon, and would officially use CC 4.0. Version two would include new communications resources, including online videos. Keitha also noted meeting the Education Review Office, and Carolyn suggested that they might be able to help with the passage of Creative Commons policies. Carolyn and Keitha both noted that ERO produce research reports, and that these reports were widely read across the sector. Keitha also noted that she would meet with the newly established team from Creative Commons Australia at QUT. Finally, Keitha said that her work had officially expanded to councils and CRIs.

Fabiana noted that OKFN is organising an event in August, and suggested that Keitha talk to Pia Waugh.

Wayne noted that he was running an open online course on "Digital Skills for Collaborative OER Development" on 30 March.

Action: Matt will help to promote Wayne's open online course, Digital Skills for Collaborative OER Development.

Action: Matt will edit the website following the release of version 2 of NZGOAL.

4. BRAINSTORMING/DISCUSSION

4.1. Funding Update

Matt spoke to the funding update, noting that funding from CONZUL was very unlikely, but that an application to UNESCO for \$15,000 would be decided in March. Matt noted that if the UNESCO funding was not provided, then CCANZ would return to the Law Foundation.

The panel suggested framing a funding application to the Law Foundation in terms of copyright and licensing education -- and not open policy. The panel also suggested finding support from lawyers and legal scholars, to ensure that the project was considered sufficiently legal.

4.2. Creative Commons and Open Government

Fabiana noted that CCANZ needed to become less reliant on Keitha to mediate its relationship with central government. Keitha suggested that Matt attend the joint meeting for CE's and the steering group. Matt also suggested writing to Bill English to outline the success of the project to date, and the panel agreed. Matt will also come to future training sessions.

4.3 Toolkits/Resources

Matt noted that new resources had been published on resources.creativecommons.org.nz, and that we would continue to produce new resources over the course of the year. Fabiana suggested updating the OA brochure, and Matt agreed. She also suggested including a form letter for researchers to send to Open Access journals on open licensing. Keitha suggested including a data published on the resources.

4.4. Project Brainstorm: Open data, open government and journalism

Noting the rise of data journalism, Cathy suggested that there was an opportunity to give advice to journalists, journalist training courses and schools on open data. Cathy suggested we could produce useful resources for working journalists. The panel noted that there were plenty of folks in the science and data communities that would be worth discussing the project with. Cathy noted that the target audience would be journalists

who knew very little about open data. Keitha noted that media organisations could ask for openly licensed material when making OIA requests to get data.

4.5. Connections with CC Australia

Matt noted that CC Australia had been rebooted, and suggested that CCANZ follow the structure of the new organisation, as it might be a model CCANZ could follow in the future. He also suggested we develop stronger ties with CC Australia.

As an aside, Dave asked whether one could include a '3.0 or later' statement on one's work, to ensure that one didn't have to keep update one's licence statement. Andrew said it was a possibility. The conversation then turned to likely communications from CCANZ on the release of NZGOAL Version 2. Andrew suggested publishing a statement in support of 4.0, though noting that 3.0NZ was still available. Andrew noted that the impetus to port the 4.0 licences would come from government, and that was unlikely to happen. Dave asked if there had been any court cases on CC licensing in NZ, and Andrew replied that there hadn't, but there had been several overseas.

On the question of multiple affiliates in a national jurisdiction, Matt noted that talks with Susy Frankel had been ongoing. Andrew noted that the licence versioning work was complete, so the role for a legal affiliate would be research based.

Fabiana noted that there were other open projects in Australia, and that there would be useful to work more with these projects.

4.6. Indigenous Knowledge Update

Matt and Karaitiana provided a short summary of the notice, and pointed out that there had been some concerns about the process. Matt noted that the draft was close to being completed.

4.7. Membership of Open Policy Network

Matt suggested that CCANZ become a member of the OPN. Andrew wondered if CCANZ was already a member, given that the OERF was a member, too.

Action: Matt will put more information about the OPN on Loomio, so the panel can make a decision.

5. Other Items

Dave outlined his work with CEISMIC, which has a proliferation of licences, including many different CC licences and various ad hoc licences. They want to consolidate these

licences into fewer options. Victoria suggested checking out Europeana's work on licensing, as well as DPLA's 'Getting it Right on Rights' guide. Dave also noted that ethics committees can get in the way of CC licensing for research data with human subjects. Fabiana noted that this is a common problem in research institutions.